

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,119	09/09/2003	Kazutaka Akiyama	09108.0003	9224	
22852	7590 11/08/2005	•	EXAM	INER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			CAO, PHAT X		
LLP 901 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2814		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before	the	Filing	of ar	n Appeal	Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 11
10/657,119	AKIYAMA, KAZUTAKA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Phat X. Cao	2814	

	Phat X. Cao	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	filed within two month	a of the data of			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 5,13 and 14.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-12.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15-20</u> .						
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	in of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Tanaka does not suggest a "guard ring...electrically insulated from...said MIM capacitor" because element 54 is a capacitor lower electrode. This argument is not persuasive because it appears that Applicant has misinterpreted Fig. 34 of Tanaka. It is noted that in Fig. 34, the center element 54 is not relied on for teaching as a "guard ring" as asserted by Applicant, but rather, the rightmost element 54 is relied on for teaching as a "guard ring" as claimed. There are differences between the center element 54 and the rightmost element 54. The center element 54 functions as a capacitor lower electrode because it is connected to the transistor through the contact plug 44. However, the rightmost element 54 functions as "a guard ring" (column 11, lines 35-38), and it does not function as capacitor lower electrode as asserted by Applicant because it is in an electrically floating state, it does not connect anywhere in the circuitry. Therefore, the rightmost element 54 is a "guard ring...electrically insulated from...said MIM capacitor."

PHAT X. CAO PRIMARY EXAMINES

'anum kal

2